UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-11664

LOUIS E. MCBRIDE,)	
)	
Plaintiff)	
)	
vs.)	ANSWER OF THE DEFENDANT,
)	LAFLEUR CRANE SERVICE, INC.,
WOODS HOLE, MARTHA'S)	TO THE CROSS-CLAIM OF THE
VINEYARD AND NANTUCKET)	DEFENDANT, WOODS HOLE, MARTHA'S
STEAMPSHIP AUTHORITY, AND)	VINEYARD AND NANTUCKET
LAFLEUR CRANE SERVICE, INC.	,)	STEAMSHIP AUTHORITY
)	
Defendants)	

Now comes the defendant, LaFleur Crane Service, Inc., in the above-entitled action and in answer to the plaintiff's complaint by the counts and paragraphs numbered therein and says:

- 1. The defendant in cross-claim is without sufficient information to admit or deny this allegation.
- 2. The defendant in cross-claim is without sufficient information to admit or deny this allegation.
- 3. The defendant in cross-claim admits this allegation.
- 4. The defendant in cross-claim admits this allegation.
- 5. The defendant in cross-claim admits this allegation.
- 6. The defendant in cross-claim denies this allegation.
- 7. The defendant in cross-claim admits this allegation.
- 8. The defendant in cross-claim admits this allegation.

COUNT I Contribution

- 9. The defendant in cross-claim reiterates and re-alleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 8 inclusive and incorporate same as if fully set forth herein.
- 10. The defendant in cross-claim denies this allegation.
- 11. The allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the cross-claim are allegations of law thereby not requiring a response. To the extent a response is required, the defendant in cross-claim denies this allegation.
- 12. The allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the cross-claim are allegations of law thereby not requiring a response. To the extent a response is required, the defendant in cross-claim denies this allegation.

COUNT II Indemnification

- 13. The defendant in cross-claim reiterates and re-alleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 12 inclusive and incorporate same as if fully set forth herein.
- 14. The defendant in cross-claim denies this allegation.
- 15. The allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the cross-claim are allegations of law thereby not requiring a response. To the extent a response is required, the defendant in cross-claim denies this allegation.
- 16. The allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the cross-claim are allegations of law thereby not requiring a response. To the extent a response is required, the defendant in cross-claim denies this allegation.

And further answering in the affirmative, the defendant in cross-claim says:

1. If, in fact, the defendant in cross-claim owed the plaintiff in cross-claim anything, which the defendant in cross-claim denies, the same has been satisfied in full.

- 2. The defendant/cross-claim plaintiff fails to state a claim for which relief can be granted.
- 3. That if, in fact, the defendant in cross-claim was negligent, which the defendant in cross-claim denies, the negligence of the plaintiff was of a greater degree than that of the defendant, whereby the plaintiff is barred from recovery.
- 4. That if, in fact, the defendant in cross-claim was negligent, which the defendant in cross-claim expressly denies, the plaintiff was also negligent and any recovery or verdict for the plaintiff must be reduced by a percentage equal to the comparative negligence of the plaintiff.
- 5. That if, in fact, the plaintiff was injured through the negligence of some third person, such negligence was on the part of one for whose conduct the defendant in cross-claim was not responsible.
- 6. The plaintiff has failed to meet those statutory requirements prerequisite to maintain this action.

THE DEFENDANT, LAFLEUR CRANE SERVICE, INC., DEMANDS A TRIAL AS TO ALL TRIABLE ISSUES.

/s/John F. Gleavy

Francis J. Lynch, III, BBO 308 740 John F. Gleavy, BBO 636 888 Attorneys for Defendant, LaFleur Crane Service, Inc. Lynch & Lynch 45 Bristol Drive South Easton, MA 02375 (508) 230-2500

M:LW:L136-0007668.DOC